



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY  
LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER  
BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128  
4417 LANCASTER PIKE  
WILMINGTON DE 19805

COPY MAILED

JUN 29 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of  
Amey et al.  
Application No. 10/659,644  
Filed: September 10, 2003  
Attorney Docket No. PI1220USDIV

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.181 to withdraw the holding of abandonment, filed May 2, 2006.

The petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment is **Dismissed**.

Any request for reconsideration should be filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this decision in order to be considered timely. 37 CFR 1.181(f). This time period may not be extended pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely submit the issue fee, as required by the Notice of Allowance and Fee (s) Due, which were mailed April 15, 2004. The Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee (s) Due set a three (3) month period for reply. Accordingly, this application became abandoned on July 16, 2004. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on August 17, 2004.

Petitioner asserts that the Notice of Allowability mailed April 2, 2004 was never received. In support, petitioner has provided a copy of the docket record where the Notice of Allowance would have been entered had the Notice been received.

A review of the record indicates no irregularity in the mailing of the Notice, and in the absence of any irregularity in the mailing, there is a strong presumption that the Notice was properly mailed to the address of record. This presumption may be overcome by a showing that the Notice was not in fact received. The showing required to establish the failure to receive an Office communication must include a statement from the practitioner stating that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office

communication was not received. A copy of the docket record where the non-received Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement.<sup>1</sup> The showing outlined above may not be sufficient if there are circumstances that point to a conclusion that the Notice may have been lost after receipt rather than a conclusion that the Notice was lost in the mail.

Petitioner's evidence has been considered but deemed as unpersuasive. A review of the record shows the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due was mailed to the correspondence address of record. The correspondence address of record continues to be the following:

E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY  
LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER  
BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128  
4417 LANCASTER PIKE  
WILMINGTON DE 19805.

A review of the petition shows that the address provided on the petition differs from the correspondence address of record. The petition address is listed as follows:

Invista North America S.A. R.L  
4417 Lancaster Pike  
Legal-Bldg. 722/WR1032  
Wilmington, DE 19850.

As such the record is not clear that the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) due was not received due to a failure to change the correspondence address of record. The address differs enough that it is conceivable that correspondence addressed with the correspondence address of record would not be delivered. Where an application becomes abandoned as a consequence of a change of correspondence address (the Office action being mailed to the old, uncorrected address and failing to reach the applicant in sufficient time to permit a timely reply) an adequate showing of "unavoidable" delay will require a showing that due care was taken to adhere to the requirement for prompt notification in each concerned application of the change of address (see MPEP § 601.03), and must include an adequate showing that a timely notification of the change of address was filed in the application concerned, and in a manner reasonably calculated to call attention to the fact that it was a notification of a change of address. The lack of notification, or belated notification, to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office of the change in correspondence address does not constitute unavoidable delay. See MPEP 711.03(c). Nor would the failure to promptly change the correspondence allow for withdrawing the holding of abandonment.

---

<sup>1</sup>M.P.E.P. § 711.03(c); See Notice entitled "Withdrawing the Holding of Abandonment When Office Actions Are Not Received," 1156 O.G. 53 (November 16, 1993).

The Office requires the docket records of E I du Pont De Nemours and Company. To the extent E I du Pont De Nemours and Company and Invista North America S.A. R.L are the same entity and maintain the same docket records a statement and evidence to the effect should be provided.

Petitioner authorized the charging of the issue and publication fees. However, the fees could not be charged because the credit card submitted was expired.

Further it is noted, there is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. If the person signing the instant petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the instant petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address currently of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

**In the alternative, petitioner may wish to file a petition under 37 CFR 1.137.**

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

**By mail:** **Mail Stop Petition**  
**Commissioner for Patents**  
**P.O. Box 1450**  
**Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

By facsimile: (571) 273-8300

By delivery service:  
(FedEx, UPS, DHL, etc.)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Customer Service Window,  
Randolph Building  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3215.

Charles E. Grass

**Charlema R. Grant**  
**Petitions Attorney**  
**Office of Petitions**

**cc:** Invista North America S.A. R.L  
4417 Lancaster Pike  
Legal-Bldg. 722/WR1032  
Wilmington, DE 19850

Organization Bldg./Room

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE


P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Official Business

Penalty For Private Use, \$300



22 1A  
\$00.630  
0004205065 JUN 29 2006  
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



REC-7

JUL 10 2006

USPTO MAIL CENTER

Invista North America S.A. R.L.

4417 Lancaster Pike

Legal Bldg. 722/WRI032

Wilmington, DE 19850

*Return to*

*Sender*

**RTS**  
RETURN TO SENDER

A ☐ INSUFFICIENT ADDRESS  
C ☐ ATTEMPTED NOT KNOWN  
S ☐ NO SUCH NUMBER/STREET  
S ☒ NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED  
- UNABLE TO FORWARD

☐ OTHER

198